

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,662		11/24/2003	Hong-Mo Moon	038779/271509	6451
826	7590	10/11/2005		EXAMINER	
ALSTON &			LUCAS, ZACHARIAH		
BANK OF A		A PLAZA N STREET, SUITE	4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		28280-4000		1648	<del></del>
				DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,662	MOON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Zachariah Lucas	1648	

<b>5</b>		7.0.0							
	Zachariah Lucas	1648							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH									
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	the of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because						
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>		TE below),							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		,							
_	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
6. Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling									
the non-allowable claim(s).	morrabio ii babiiiiiba iii a boparato	, mod dinoman	,o,,, oa,,,oo,,,,,g						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed::									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-12 and 14-18</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-5,13 and 19-43	<b>3</b> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<del>-</del>								
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
13. Other:									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended to add a new limitation to the claims which limitation was not previously in the claims, and therefore requires further search and examination.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The additional arguments presented in the after-final response are based upon the amendments to the claims which have not been entered into the application as described above. As the arguments are based on limitations not part of the claims, they are not found persuasive for the reasons of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 571-272-0905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lucas

Patent Examiner

JAMES HOUSEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600